T/I/M-14 12 April 1965

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD COMMITTEE ON DOCUMENTATION

TASK TEAM I - CONTENT CONTROL

Minutes of the Fourteenth Meeting, 26 March 1965

| i . | Members or Their Representatives Present | |
|------|--|------|
| 25X1 | NSA | |
| | CIA | |
| | DIA | |
| | STATE | |
| | CSS | |
| | Others Present | |
| 25X1 | CIA | |
| 25X1 | l. opened the all-day meeting. Members of the Team reported manhours expended in preparation for meetings held during | |
| 25X1 | | |
| 05V4 | | 25X1 |
| 25X1 | gapers on these subjects will be many and the Basic | 25X1 |
| | and discussion by the Team as a whole. | |
| | 2. The Team then took up an initial draft on identifiers pre- | |
| 25X1 | a need to flag the fact that content control responds to | |
| | a need to flag the fact that specifics, i.e., names of people, organizations, installations, and various methods of address, vehicle | |
| | | |
| | being represented. Considerable discussion occurred during which | • |
| | recommendations for additions and changes were made. will incorporate these in a revised draft for consideration at the | 25X1 |
| | next meeting. | |

GROUP I
Excluded from automatic
downgrading &
declassification.

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

| 25X1 | 3 Mr. Whipp prepared follow-on |
|-------|---|
| 25X1 | papers topaper on subject categorization discussed at |
| 20/(1 | the previous meeting. Mr. Most reviewed the results of his efforts |
| | to balance subject classes without reference to level of hierarchy. |
| | This resulted in identifying further compatibility between the |
| 25X1 | categorization systems had studied i.e. ISC, STATE, IPI |
| 20/(1 | and SCIPS. |
| | 4. The balance of the meeting was devoted to the review and |
| | comparison of the various approaches incorporated in the papers |
| | mentioned above. A suggestion to incorporate a class or modifier |
| | to indicate map or chart forms of conveying information as a numb |
| | of content control was discussed. It was decided that this was more |
| | a problem of item control them content control. Therefore the |
| | secretary was requested to take the matter up with Task Team IT to |
| | indure that this aspect, i.e., form of item (information) was |
| | adequately covered in item description. |
| | 5. The desirability of incorporating a class, subclass or modifier |
| | TO COMMUNICAL IN THE SIDJECT CONTROL STRICTURE MAS discussed in detail |
| | The tendency of a concept like Communism to permeate many different |
| | subject classes and geographic areas as wellwas noted. It was felt |
| | that by confining the subject class to Communist Party structure |
| | and providing a modifier to indicate communist orientation, alignment, |
| | etc., in association with other classes might be useful. |
| 25X1 | 6. and Mr. Whipp were requested to incorporate the |
| | results of the overall discussion of subject content control in a |
| | new draft for consideration at the next meeting. Throughout the |
| 25X1 | session, emphasized the need for minimizing the number of |
| | subject classes and modifiers to that number (and structure) necessary |
| | to meet the objectives of a content control scheme. Extensive use |
| 251/4 | of scope notes to specify the contents of these classes was stressed by se a powerful tool in so doing. |
| 25X1 | by s a powerful tool in so doing. |
| | 7. The next meeting of the Team will be held at Department of State on 9 April 1965 at 0930 (all day). |
| | |
| | (|
| |) |
| | |
| | Secretary Secretary |

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L